arXiv:1410.6731v1 [math.PR] 24 Oct 2014

MARKOV PROCESSES, POLYNOMIAL MARTINGALES AND ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

PAWEŁ J. SZABŁOWSKI

Abstract. We study general properties the family of stochastic processes with polynomial regression property. That is under assumption that every conditional moment of the process is a polynomial. It turns out that then there exists a family of polynomial martingales $\{M_n(X_t,t)\}_{n\geq 1}$ that contains complete information on the distribution (both marginal and transitional) of the process.

We specify conditions expressed in terms of $M_n's$ under which given process has independent increments, is a Levy process, contains reversed martingales is a harness or quadratic harness .

1. Introduction

We study general properties of the class of Markov processes that have the property that conditional expectation of every $n-$th power of the state of the process at moment t conditioned upon all history of the process upon the moment $s<t$ is equal to a polynomial of order n in state of the process at moment s. As shown in [19] then under some mild assumptions there exits a family of polynomial martingales $\{M_n(X_t,t)\}_{n\geq 0}$. In this paper we aim to express many general properties of the analyzed class of processes in terms of these polynomials. In particular by imposing certain conditions on these polynomial martingales we characterize processes with independent increments (among them Lévy processes), harnesses, and quadratic harnesses. We also specify conditions for a martingale $M_n(X_s,s)$ to be reversed martingale or for the set of polynomial martingales $\{M_n(X_t,t)\}_{n\geq 0}$ to be the set of orthogonal martingales.

To see the rôle of these polynomials let us consider the space $L(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mu)$ of measurable real functions such that $\int \exp(\alpha|f|)d\mu$ is finite for some $\alpha>0$ for all $f\in L$. Then the moments i.e. the numbers $\int f^n d\mu$ for $n\geq 0$ do exist and moreover their values enable to regain the so called distribution of the function f i.e. the function $F_f(t)=\mu\{\omega : f(\omega)\leq t\}$ for all t. Another words in the space L the knowledge of polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}$ such that degree of p_n is n and $\int p_n(x)d\mu(x)=0$ allow firstly to build (using the so called Gram–Schmidt procedure) a family of orthogonal polynomials and secondly find the measure that makes these polynomials orthogonal. The algorithm how to do it is rather complicated. For details see e.g. excellent monographs [1], [2], [17] or [16].

Date: June 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60J35, 60G46; Secondary 60J99, 60G44.

Key words and phrases. Markov processes, martingales, reversed martingales, orthogonal polynomials, harnesses, quadratic harnesses.

Hence taking the above into account the family of polynomial martingales $\{M_n(X_t,t)\}_{n\geq 0}$ contains complete information about the so called transitional distribution. More precisely given polynomials $p_n(x,y,s,t) = M_n(x,t) - M_n(y,s)$,for $s<t$, $n\geq 1$ we can (by the so called Gram–Schmidt procedure) get a family of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the so called transitional measure $\mu(A|s,y,t)$ defined by $\mu(A|s,y,t) = P(X_t \in A|X_s = y)$ and consequently characterize it completely. Further we will assume $\forall n \geq 1 : \mathbb{E}M_n(X_t,t) = 0$ hence we get linearly independent family of polynomials from which (again by Gram–Schmidt procedure) we can get family of polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to marginal distribution of the process at moment t.

In other words a family of polynomial martingales contains complete information on the stochastic process that generated these martingales.

It is an interesting question if polynomials $\{M_n\}$ can be made identical with the family of orthogonal polynomials. We will show in the sequel that it is not always possible.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we study general properties of these polynomial martingales i.e. we study what conditions have to be imposed on them to get process with independent increments, reversed martingale or make them orthogonal. In Section 3 we study necessary conditions that process $\mathbf{X}$ is a harness we also specify sufficient conditions for this to happen. In Subsection 3.1 we study necessary conditions to guarantee that the process is a quadratic process. Longer proofs are shifted to Section 4.

2. General properties

Let us assume that we deal with the Markov process $\mathbf{X} = (X_t)_{t\in I}$ defined on certain probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with real values that has property that every its conditional moment of degree n conditioned upon the past is a polynomial of degree n. I denotes an index set usually $\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}^+$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$. What is important about I is that there exists a total order in it i.e. the binary relation that is antisymmetric, transitive and total. As it is well know this property is equivalent to the one assuring that there exist a family of polynomial martingales $\{M_n(X_t,t)\}_{n\geq 0, t\in I}$ that is

$$\mathbb{E}\left(M_n(X_t,t)\,|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}\right) = M_n(X_s,s),$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $s < t$. For the sake of brevity of notation we will suppress dependence of M_n on X_t and will abbreviate $M_n(X_s,s)$ to $M_n(s)$. Let us also denote $m_n(s) = \mathbb{E}M_n^2(s)$. From the theory of martingales it follows that functions m_n are nondecreasing. We will additionally assume that all functions $m_n(s)$ are continuous and that $\lim_{s\longrightarrow l} m(s) = 0$, where l denotes left hand side boundary of the index set I. Hence from these two additional assumptions it follows that $\mathbb{E}M_n(X_t,t) = 0$.

Remark 1. *$\forall n > 0, s \in I : M_n^2(s) = m_n(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j(s)M_j(s)$, for some continuous functions of $c_j(s)$, $j = 1,\ldots,2n$.*

Proof. Follows the fact that martingales M_n are polynomials such that M_n is a polynomial of degree n. Hence is M_n^2 is a polynomial of degree $2n$ and uniqueness of the polynomial expansion. □

We will also assume that for every t moment generating function of X_t exist in some neighborhood of 0. This assumption guarantees that every measurable function $g(x)$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left|g(X_t)\right|^2 < \infty$ can be expanded in Fourier series of polynomials orthogonal with respect to marginal measure of X_t. Such processes will be called Markov processes with polynomial regression (MPR).

By [19] we know that the considered class of random processes contains processes with independent increments (II-class) with all moments existing. Below we have characterization of II class within the MPR class of random processes.

Proposition 1. *The MPR process has independent increments iff there exist a sequence of continuos functions $\{g_k(t)\}_{k\geq 1}$ defined on I such that martingales $\{M_n(t)\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfy the following recurrence:*

$$M_n(t) = X_t^n - g_n(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} g_j(t) M_{n-j}(t), \tag{2.1}$$

for $n \geq 1$. Then $g_n(t) = \mathbb{E}X_t^n$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{E}M_n(t) = 0$ we easily see that $g_n(t) = \mathbb{E}X_t^n$. Now notice that considering lower triangular matrix $V_n(t) = [\binom{i}{j} g_{i-j}(t)]_{i,j=0,\ldots,n}$ and vectors $\mathbf{X}_n = (1, X_t, X_t^2, \ldots, X_t^n)^T$ and $\mathbf{M}_n(t) = (1, M_1(t), \ldots, M_n(t))^T$ recurrences (2.1) can be expressed in the form $V_n(t)\mathbf{M}_n(t) = \mathbf{X}_n$ for all natural n. Consequently referring to results of [19] we deduce that $V_n(t)$ is a structural matrix of the process $\mathbf{X}$**.** Following way of reasoning presented in [19] we deduce that process $\mathbf{X}$ has independent increments.

Conversely if $\mathbf{X}$ has independent increments then the we read (2.1) in the following way

$$X_t^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} g_{n-j}(t) M_j(t),\ \mathbb{E}(X_t^n | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} g_{n-j}(t) M_j(s),$$

and more importantly $\mathbb{E}((X_t - X_s)^n | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ is a nonrandom quantity say equal to $\gamma_n(s,t)$. On the other hand since $\gamma_n(s,t) = \mathbb{E}(\gamma_n(s,t))$ we deduce that $\gamma_n(s,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \mathbb{E}(X_t^{n-j})\mathbb{E}(X_s^j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j \binom{n}{j} g_{n-j}(t) g_j(s)$. Besides notice that $\gamma_n(s,s) = 0$.We have

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t^n | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \mathbb{E}((X_t - X_s + X_s)^n | \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} X_s^j \gamma_{n-j}(s,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \gamma_{n-j}(s,t) \sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j}{k} g_{j-k}(s) M_k(s)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} M_k(s) \sum_{j=k}^{n} \binom{n-k}{j-k} \gamma_{n-j}(s,t) g_{j-k}(s)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} M_k(s) \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l} g_{n-k-l}(s) \sum_{r=0}^{l} (-1)^r g_r(s) g_{l-r}(t).$$

Now notice that $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} g_{n-j}(s) \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{j}{k} g_k(t) g_{j-k}(s) =$
$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} g_k(t) \sum_{j=k}^{n} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{n-k}{j-k} g_{j-k}(s) g_{n-k-j}(s) = g_n(t)$ by the properties of

$\gamma_n(s,t)$. Hence indeed

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t^n|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} M_k(s) g_{n-j}(t).$$

□

Corollary 1. *The MPR process* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a Lévy process iff polynomial martingales* $M_n(t)$ *satisfy (2.1) and moreover functions* $g_i(t)$ *satisfy the following condition:*

$$g_n(t-s) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} g_{n-j}(t) g_j(s).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1 we know that $\mathbf{X}$ is a process with independent increments with moment functions $g_n(t) = \mathbb{E}X_t^n$. Now recall that Lévy processes are processes with independent increments having property that $X_t - X_s \sim X_{t-s}$ for all $t > s$. □

To proceed further we need the following observation:

Lemma 1. *Suppose* $s < u_1 <, \ldots, < u_n$. *Then* $\mathbb{E}(M_{k_1}(u_1)\ldots M_{k_n}(u_n)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k_1+\ldots+k_n} \phi_j(u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1}) M_j(s)$ *for some continuous functions* $\phi_j(u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1})$.

Proof. The proof is by induction. Let us take $n = 2$. Then $\mathbb{E}(M_{k_1}(u_1)M_{k_2}(u_2)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ $= \mathbb{E}(M_{k_1}(u_1)M_{k_2}(u_1)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$ since M_{k_2} is a martingale. Further since M_{k_1} and M_{k_2} are polynomials we deduce that $M_{k_1}(u_1)M_{k_2}(u_1) = \sum_{j=0}^{k_1+k_2} \phi_j(u_1) M_j(u_1)$ by our assumptions concerning polynomials M_i. Hence now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(M_{k_1}(u_1)\ldots M_{k_n}(u_n)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k_2+\ldots+k_{n-1}} \phi_j(u_2,\ldots,u_{n-1}) \mathbb{E}(M_{k_1}(u_1)M_j(u_2)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k_1+\ldots+k_{n-1}} \phi_j(u_2,\ldots,u_{n-1}) \sum_{m=0}^{j+k_n} \phi_m(u_1) M_m(s) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{k_n} M_m(s) \sum_{j=0}^{k_1+\ldots+k_n} \phi_m(u_1)\phi_j(u_2,\ldots,u_{n-1}) \\
&+ \sum_{m=k_n+1}^{k_1+\ldots+k_n} M_m(s) \sum_{j=m-k_n}^{k_1+\ldots+k_n} \phi_m(u_1)\phi_j(u_2,\ldots,u_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us first answer the question when these martingales are also reversed martingales.

Proposition 2. *Suppose there exist* $a(s)$ *function of such that*

$$a(s)\mathbb{E}\left(M_n(s)\,|\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}\right) = a(t)M_n(t), \tag{2.2}$$

for some fixed $n > 0$. *Then one can select* $a(s)$ *to be positive for all* s *and* $a(s) = 1/m_n(s)$. *Moreover*

$$\forall m \geq 0, s \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s) = \chi_{m,n} m_n(s) \tag{2.3}$$

for some constants $\chi_{m,n}$. *Conversely if condition (2.3) holds then* $\{M_n(s)/m_n(s)\}_{s\geq 0}$ *is reversed martingale.*

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.2) by $M_m(t)$ and taking expectation we get on left hand side: $a(s)\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}\left(M_n(s)\,|\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}\right)M_m(t)) = a(s)\mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s)$ since M_m is a martingale. On the right hand side we get: $a\left(t\right)\mathbb{E}M_n(t)M_m(t)$. First taking $m = n$ we get

$$a(s)m_n(s) = a(t)m_n(t),$$

from which it follows that $a(s)m_n(s)$ does not depend on s. Moreover since functions $m_n(t)$ are positive we deduce that function $a(s)$ does not change sign hence can be selected to be positive. We get the first assertion. From the equality $\mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s)/m_n(s) = \mathbb{E}M_n(t)M_m(t)/m_n(t)$ we get the second. Now let us assume that (2.3) holds. To show that $M_n(s)/m_n(s)$ is a reversed martingale we have to show that for all bounded functions g measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}$ we have $\mathbb{E}gM_n(s)/m_n(s) = \mathbb{E}gM_n(t)/m_n(t)$. Let consider $g(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} M_{k_j}(u_j)$, for $t < u_1 <,\ldots,< u_n$. Then we have $\mathbb{E}M_n(s)g(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = m_n(s)\sum_{j=1}^{k_1+\ldots+k_n}\phi_j(u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1})$ by Lemma 1 and our assumption. Now notice that linear combinations of such functions g are dense in the space of functions measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}$ we deduce by Dynkin's $\pi-\lambda$ Theorem that this is true for all functions g measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\geq t}$. □

We have the following theorem

Theorem 1. *Suppose all functions $m_n(s)$ are different that is $m_n(s)/m_m(s) \neq 1$ for all $m \neq n$ and all s. Then the following statements are equivalent*

1. all martingales $\{M_n(t)\}_{n\geq 1}$ are orthogonal with respect to marginal measure of X_t

2. there exist continuous functions $a_n(t)$ such that $\{a_n(s)M_n(s)\}_{n\geq 0}$ are reversed martingales.

Proof. First let us show that 2. implies 1.. From the Proposition 2 we have:

$$a_n(s)\mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s) = a_n(t)\mathbb{E}M_n(t)M_m(t).$$

However the fact that all $a_n(s)M_n(s)$ are reversed martingales we deduce that we have also:

$$a_m(s)\mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s) = a_m(t)\mathbb{E}M_n(t)M_m(t).$$

Consequently we deduce that $\mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s) = \chi_{m,n}m_n(s) = \chi_{n,m}m_m(s)$. Since by assumption all function $m_n(s)$ are different we deduce that $\mathbb{E}M_n(s)M_m(s) = 0$ for all $m \neq n$.

Hence now let us assume that polynomials $\{M_n\}$ are orthogonal. Let us fix n then from orthogonality assumption we have $\forall j \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}M_n(t)M_j(t) = 0m_n(t)$. By the Proposition 2 we deduce that M_n is a reversed martingale. □

Remark 2. *Following results of* [22] *and* [23] *one can state that there exit MPR processes such that under some quite natural assumptions all polynomial martingales M_n can be selected to be orthogonal with respect to marginal measure. For example stationary MPR process have this property. On the other hand as shown in* [22] *the only MPR Lévy process with orthogonal martingales is a Wiener process. Moreover martingale M_2 for Lévy MPR process is a reverses martingale for only very specific marginal distribution. Hence for all MPR processes only family of polynomial martingales does exist.*

3. Harnesses

Now let us analyze conditions for the process $\mathbf{X}$ to be a harness. This subclass of Markov processes has been introduced by Hammersley in [15]. Some basic properties of them under additional simplifying assumptions were discussed in e.g. [11], [19].

Recall that a stochastic process is a harness iff

$$\forall s<t<u:\mathbb{E}(X_t|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=\hat{a}(s,t,u)X_s+\hat{b}(s,t,u)X_t+c(s,t,u),$$

for some a,b,c being functions of s,t,u. Since $M_1(s)$ is linear function of X_s we see that one can define harnesses in an equivalent way, namely:

$$\forall s<t<u:\mathbb{E}(M_1(t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})=a(s,t,u)M_1(s)+b(s,t,u)M_1(u), \tag{3.1}$$

almost surely. Let us multiply both sides of (3.1) by $M_1(s)$. We have then: $m_1(s) = am_1(s)+bm_1(s)$. Since function $m_1(s)$ is positive and increasing we deduce that $a(s,t,u)+b(s,t,u)=1$.

Now let us multiply both sides of (3.1) by $M_1(u)$. We will get then $m_1(t) = am_1(s)+bm_1(u)$. So

$$a(s,t,u)=\frac{m_1(u)-m_1(t)}{m_1(u)-m_1(s)},\ b(s,t,u)=\frac{m_1(t)-m_1(s)}{m_1(u)-m_1(s)}.$$

We have the following observation.

Theorem 2. *If process* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a harness then*
1. $\{M_1(s)/m_1(s)\}_{s\geq 0}$ *is reversed martingale*
2. $\forall n\geq 1$ *there exist constants* $a_{j,n}$, $b_{j,n}$, $j=1,\ldots,n+1$ *such that:*

$$M_1(t)M_n(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}(\alpha_{j,n}m_1(t)+\beta_{j,n})M_j(t)+\chi_{n,1}m_1(t), \tag{3.2}$$

for $t\geq 0$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 4. □

Corollary 2. *If all polynomials* $M_i(t)$ *are orthogonal then condition (3.2) is also sufficient for the process* $\mathbf{X}$ *to be harness.*

Proof. Is shifted to Section 4. □

Remark 3. *Notice that condition (3.2) is in fact a generalization of necessary conditions that the 3-term recurrence of the family of orthogonal polynomial martingales have to satisfy in order that the process this family defines is a harness (compare results of* [19]*). Here we do not have orthogonal martingales hence there are no 3-term recurrences. But the fact that coefficients of the* M_1M_n *expansion are linear functions of* m_1 *remains true.*

3.1. **Quadratic harnesses.** The class of quadratic harnesses (QH) has been intensively studied in recent years by W. Bryc, J. Wesołowski and occasionally W. Matysiak in several papers [3], [11], [8], [9], [10], [12] under some more or less restricting and regularizing assumptions of which the most important was the postulated existence of the family of orthogonal polynomial martingales of the specific type with linear dependence on t of the 3-term recurrence coefficients. In [19] Szabłowski studied quadratic harnesses under less restricting assumption of existence of orthogonal polynomial martingales and the assumption that transitional

distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to the marginal distributions and more over that the Radon–Nikodym derivative of these distribution is square integrable with respect to the marginal one. Of course generalization of the results of Bryc & Wesołowski were obtained. In the present paper we study existence of quadratic harnesses under no restrictions except of course the assumption that it belongs to MPR class.

Let us recall that in [19] the following, slightly more general than in the works of Bryc and Wesołowski, definition of QH was used.

The process $\mathbf{X}$ is QH if it is a harness and almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}(M_2(t)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) = AM_2(s)+BM_1(s)M_1(u)+CM_2(u)+DM_1(s)+EM_1(u)+F, \tag{3.3}$$

for some functions A, B, C, D, E, F of s, t, u. Immediately notice that since $\mathbb{E}(M_2(s)) = 0$ we have: $Bm_1(s) + F = 0$.

To proceed further let us assume that martingales M_1 and M_2 are orthogonal that is that $\mathbb{E}(M_1(t)M_2(t)) = 0$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that MPR process* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a harness such that* $\forall t \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}(M_1(t)M_2(t)) = 0$ *then:*

Keeping in mind the following definitions of parameters $\alpha's$ $\beta's$ *and* χ *that follow assumption that* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a harness:*

$$M_1(t)^2 = (\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t) + \beta_{2,1})M_2(t) + (\alpha_{1,1}m_1(t) + \beta_{1,1})M_1(t) + m_1(t), \tag{3.4}$$

$$M_1(t)M_2(t) = (\alpha_{3,2}m_1(t) + \beta_{3,2})M_3(t) + (\alpha_{2,2}m_1(t) + \beta_{2,2})M_2(t) + (\alpha_{1,2}m_1(t) + \beta_{1,2})M_1(t), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathbb{E}M_3(t)M_1(t) = \chi_{3,1}m_1(t), \tag{3.6}$$

and denoting for simplicity $\hat{a} = \alpha_{3,2}\chi_{3,1} + \alpha_{1,2}$, $a = \beta_{3,2}\chi_{3,1} + \beta_{1,2}$,*we have:*

1. $\mathbb{E}(M_1^2(t)) = m_1(t)$, $\mathbb{E}(M_1(t)M_2(t)) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(M_1^3(t) = (\alpha_{1,1}m_1(t) + \beta_{1,1})m_1(t)$, $\mathbb{E}(M_1^2(s)M_2(s)) = m_1(s)(\hat{a}m_1(s) + a)$,

2. $m_2(t) = \frac{(\hat{a}m_1(t)+a)}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t)+\beta_{2,1})}m_1(t)$, $\mathbb{E}(M_1^2(t)M_1^2(s)) = m_1(s)((\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t)+\beta_{2,1})(\hat{a}m_1(s)+a) + (\alpha_{1,1}m_1(t) + \beta_{1,1})(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(s) + \beta_{1,1}) + m_1(t))$

Proof. Keeping in mind that $\mathbb{E}M_i(t) = 0$ and our assumption and also looking on expansions (3.4)-(3.6) we see that 1. is trivially true. To see that first statement of 2. is true we calculate $\mathbb{E}(M_2(t)M_1^2(t))$ in two ways. Firstly by multiplying (3.4) by $M_2(t)$ and taking expectation , secondly by multiplying (3.5) by $M_1(t)$ and taking expectation. □

Proposition 3. *Suppose that MPR process* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a quadratic harness then functions* A, B, C, D, E F *defined by (3.3) are given by the following formulae.:*

(3.7)
$$A = h\frac{(a_{21}m(s)+b_{21})(b_{21}a\kappa - b_{21}\hat{a}(\lambda-\kappa)m(t)+b_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)+a_{12}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)m(t))}{((a_{21}m(t)+b_{21})(b_{21}a\kappa - b_{21}\hat{a}(\lambda-\kappa)m(s)+b_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)+a_{12}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)m(t))},$$
(3.8)
$$B = \frac{(m(t)-m(s))\lambda b_{21}\hat{a}}{(a_{21}m(t)+b_{21})(b_{21}a\kappa - b_{21}\hat{a}(\lambda-\kappa)m(s)+b_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)+a_{12}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)m(t))},$$
(3.9)
$$C = (1-h)\frac{(a_{21}m(u)+b_{21})(b_{21}a\kappa - b_{21}\hat{a}(\lambda-\kappa)m(s)+b_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m(t)+a_{12}\hat{a}\kappa m(s)m(t))}{(a_{21}m(t)+b_{21})(b_{21}a\kappa - b_{21}\hat{a}(\lambda-\kappa)m(s)+b_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)+a_{12}\hat{a}\kappa m(u)m(t))},$$
(3.10)
$$D = -b_{11}B,\ E = -a_{11}Bm(s),\ F = -Bm(s),$$

where we denoted $m(.) = m_1(.)$, $\kappa = (1 + a_{11}b_{11} + a_{21}a)$, $\lambda = (b_{21}\hat{a} - a_{21}a)$, $\hat{a} = \alpha_{3,2}\chi_{3,1} + \alpha_{1,2}$, $a = \beta_{3,2}\chi_{3,1} + \beta_{1,2}$ *and*

$$h = h(s,t,u) = \frac{m(u)-m(t)}{m(u)-m(s)}.$$

Proof. Now let us multiply both sides of (3.3) successfully by $M_1(s)$, $M_1(u)$, $M_1(s)M_1(u)$, $M_2(s)$, $M_2(u)$ and then take expectation. We get then:

$$\mathbb{E}M_2(s)M_1(s) = A\mathbb{E}M_2(s)M_1(s) + B\mathbb{E}M_1^3(s) + C\mathbb{E}M_2(s)M_1(s) + (D+E)m_1(s),$$
$$\mathbb{E}M_2(t)M_1(t) = A\mathbb{E}M_2(s)M_1(s) + B\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}\mathbb{E}M_1^3(u) + C\mathbb{E}M_2(u)M_1(u) + Dm_1(s) + Em_1(u),$$
$$\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(t)}\mathbb{E}M_1^2(t)M_2(t) = A\mathbb{E}M_1^2(s)M_2(s) + B\mathbb{E}M_1^2(s)M_1^2(u)$$
$$+\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}C\mathbb{E}M_1^2(u)M_2(u) + D\mathbb{E}M_1^3(s) + E\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}\mathbb{E}M_1^3(u) - Bm_1^2(s),$$
$$m_2(s) = (A+C)m_2(s) + B\mathbb{E}M_1^2(s)M_2(s) + (D+E)\mathbb{E}M_1(s)M_2(s),$$
$$m_2(t) = Am_2(s) + Cm_2(u) + \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}B\mathbb{E}M_1^2(u)M_2(u) + (\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}D + E)\mathbb{E}M_1(u)M_2(u).$$

Using our assumption we get.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(s) + \beta_{1,1}) + D + E, \\ 0 &= Bm_1(s)(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(u) + \beta_{1,1}) + Dm_1(s) + Em_1(u), \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $D = -\beta_{1,1}B$ and $E = -\alpha_{1,1}m_1(s)B$ (compare (3.10)), $m_2(t) = \frac{(\alpha_{3,2}m_1(t)+\beta_{3,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t)+\beta_{2,1})}\chi_{3,1}m_1(t) + \frac{(\alpha_{1,2}m_1(t)+\beta_{1,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t)+\beta_{2,1})}m_1(t)$. Now we utilize our assumptions and cancel out $m_1(s)$ in third, fourth and fifth equation:

$$\begin{aligned}((\alpha_{3,2}m_1(t)+\beta_{3,2})\chi_{3,1} + (\alpha_{1,2}m_1(t)+\beta_{1,2})) = A((\alpha_{3,2}m_1(s)+\beta_{3,2})\chi_{3,1} + (\alpha_{1,2}m_1(s)+\beta_{1,2}))\\ +B((\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{2,1})((\alpha_{3,2}m_1(s)+\beta_{3,2})\chi_{3,1}+\\ (\alpha_{1,2}m_1(s)+\beta_{1,2})) + (\alpha_{1,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,1})(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(s)+\beta_{1,1}) + m_1(u))\\ +C(\alpha_{3,2}m_1(u)+\beta_{3,2})\chi_{3,1} + (\alpha_{1,2}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,2})\\ -\beta_{1,1}B(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(s)+\beta_{1,1}) - \alpha_{1,1}m_1(s)B(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,1}) - Bm_1(s),\\ (1-A-C) = B(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(s)+\beta_{2,1}),\\ m_1(t)(\frac{(\alpha_{3,2}m_1(t)+\beta_{3,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t)+\beta_{2,1})}\chi_{3,1} + \frac{(\alpha_{1,2}m_1(t)+\beta_{1,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(t)+\beta_{2,1})}) =\\ Am_1(s)(\frac{(\alpha_{3,2}m_1(s)+\beta_{3,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(s)+\beta_{2,1})}\chi_{3,1} + \frac{(\alpha_{1,2}m_1(s)+\beta_{1,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(s)+\beta_{2,1})})\\ +Cm_1(u)(\frac{(\alpha_{3,2}m_1(u)+\beta_{3,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{2,1})}\chi_{3,1}\\ +\frac{(\alpha_{1,2}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,2})}{(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{2,1})}) + m_1(s)B((\alpha_{3,2}m_1(u)+\beta_{3,2})\chi_{3,1} + (\alpha_{1,2}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,2})).\end{aligned}$$

Now we solve these 3 equations obtaining (3.7)-(3.9). □

Corollary 3. *If* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a quadratic harness then* M_2 *is a reversed martingale.*

Proof. Set $n \geq 3$ and let us multiply (3.3) by $M_n(u)$ and integrate. We get then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(M_2(t)M_n(t) = A\mathbb{E}(M_2(s)M_n(s)) + \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}B\mathbb{E}(M_1^2(u)M_n(u) + C\mathbb{E}(M_2(u)M_n(u))\\ +D\chi_{n,1}m_1(s) + E\chi_{n,1}m_1(u).\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote for simplicity $h_n(t) = \mathbb{E}(M_2(t)M_n(t)$. Using (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}h_n(t) = Ah_n(s) + Ch_n(u) + \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}B(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{2,1})h_n(u)\\ +\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}B(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,1})m_1(u)\chi_{n,1} + D\chi_{n,1}m_1(s) + E\chi_{n,1}m_1(u).\end{aligned}$$

Now notice taking into account (3.8) and (3.10) that $\frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}B(\alpha_{1,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{1,1})m_1(u)\chi_{n,1}$ $+ D\chi_{n,1}m_1(s) + E\chi_{n,1}m_1(u) = 0$ Hence we have to prove that the only solution of the equation;

$$h_n(t) = Ah_n(s) + Ch_n(u) + \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}B(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{2,1})h_n(u),$$

among continuous functions $h_n(.)$ is $\chi_{n,2}m_n(,)$. To prove this notice that the following three identities hold for all $s < t < u$:

$$\begin{aligned}A + B(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(s)+\beta_{2,1}) + C &= 1,\\ Am_1(s)\hat{a} + B(m_1(u)\kappa + m_1(s)(\lambda - \kappa + \alpha_{21}a) + \alpha_{21}\hat{a}m_1(s)m_1(u)) + C\hat{a}m_1(u) &= \hat{a}m_1(t),\\ Am_2(s) + \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}Bm_2(u)(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u)+\beta_{2,1}) + Cm_2(u) &= m_2(t).\end{aligned}$$

Treating these identities as a system of linear equations in (A, B, C) with matrix:

$$Mm = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (\alpha_{2,1}m_1(s) + \beta_{2,1}) & 1 \\ m_1(s)\hat{a} & (m_1(u)\kappa + m_1(s)(\lambda - \kappa + \alpha_{21}a) + \alpha_{21}\hat{a}m_1(s)m_1(u)) & \hat{a}m_1(u) \\ m_2(s) & \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}m_2(u)(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u) + \beta_{2,1}) & m_2(u) \end{bmatrix}$$

whose determinant is equal to: $-\alpha_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m_1(s)m_1(u)^2+\alpha_{21}\hat{a}(\kappa+\alpha_{21}(a-1))m_1(s)^2m_1(u)$ $-\beta_{21}\hat{a}(\lambda-\kappa-\alpha_{21}(a-1))m_1(s)^2+\beta_{21}\hat{a}\kappa m_1(u)^2+\beta_{12}\hat{a}(\beta_{21}\hat{a}-\alpha_{21})m_1(s)m_1(u)-$ $\beta_{21}a\kappa m_1(u)+\beta_{21}a(\kappa+\alpha_{21}(a-1))m_1(s)$. This determinant is in fact a polynomial in $m_1(s)$ and $m_1(u)$ of order 3 with not all coefficients equal to zero and as such is equal zero only for u and s from the set of measure zero. Hence there exist only one solution of this system of equations. We know this solution. Hence if the third row of matrix was replaced by some functions $(l(s), \frac{m_1(s)}{m_1(u)}l(u)(\alpha_{2,1}m_1(u) + \beta_{2,1}), l(u))$ with $l(s) \neq \chi_{n,2}m_2(s)$ for some $\chi_{n,2}$ we would have got a different solution. Which is not the case. Hence $h_n(s) = \chi_{n,2}m_2(s)$ which implies that $M_2(s)$ is a reversed martingale by Proposition 2. □

4. Proofs

Proof. Of the Theorem 2: 1 Let us multiply both sides of (3.1) by $M_n(u)$. We get then

$$\mathbb{E}M_1(t)M_n(t) = \frac{m_1(u) - m_1(t)}{m_1(u) - m_1(s)}\mathbb{E}M_1(s)M_n(s) + \frac{m_1(t) - m_1(s)}{m_1(u) - m_1(s)}\mathbb{E}M_1(u)M_n(u).$$

To simplify considerations let us denote $h_n(t) = \mathbb{E}M_1(t)M_n(t)$. Notice that the above mentioned equality is equivalent to to the following:

$$h_n(t) - h_n(s) = \frac{m_1(t) - m_1(s)}{m_1(u) - m_1(s)}(h_n(u) - h_n(s),$$

for $s < t < u$. Thus we deduce that $\frac{h_n(t)-h_n(s)}{m_1(t)-m_1(s)}$ independent on t . Consequently $h_n(t) = h_n(s) + C_n(s)(m_1(t) - m_1(s))$ for some constant $C_n(s)$ for all $s < t < u$. Besides by our assumptions $h_n(0) = 0$ and $m_1(0) = 0$. Hence we deduce that $h_n(s) = \beta_n m_1(s)$. But by Proposition 2 this means that $M_1(s)/m_1(s)$ is a reversed martingale.

2. Since $M_n(t)$ are polynomials we deduce that there exist $n + 1$ continuous functions $\{\delta_{j,n}(t)\}_{j=0}^{n+1}$ such that

$$M_1(t)M_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \delta_{j,n}(t)M_j(t). \tag{4.1}$$

Since $\mathbf{X}$ is a harness we deduce by the previous theorem that $\delta_{0,n}(t) = \chi_{n,1}m_1(t)$. To show that $\delta_{j,n}(t) = \alpha_{j,n}m_1(t)+\beta_{j,n}$ for some real $\alpha_{j,n}$, $\beta_{j,n}$ let us multiply (3.1) by $M_n(u)$ and then let us calculate conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s})$. We get then:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_1(t)M_n(t)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}) = a(s,t,u)M_1(s)M_n(s) + b(s,t,u)\mathbb{E}(M_1(u)M_n(u)|\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}).$$

Now let us apply (4.1) and let us use the fact that $M_j(t)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n + 1$ are martingales:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\delta_{j,n}(t)M_j(s)+\beta_{0,n}m_1(t) = a(s,t,u)\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\delta_{j,n}(s)M_j(s)+\chi_{n,1}m_1(s) + b(s,t,u)\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\delta_{j,n}(u)M_j(s)+\chi_{n,1}m_1(u).$$

Now since polynomials M_i are linearly independent we deduce that functions $\delta_{j,n}$ have to satisfy $n+1$ equations:

$$\delta_{j,n}(t)=\frac{m_1(u)-m_1(t)}{m_1(u)-m_1(s)}\delta_{j,n}(s)+\frac{m_1(t)-m_1(s)}{m_1(u)-m_1(s)}\delta_{j,n}(u),$$

$j=1,\ldots,n+1$. Subtracting $\delta_{j,n}(s)$ from both sides of the above equality we get:

$$\frac{\delta_{j,n}(t)-\delta_{j,n}(s)}{m_1(t)-m_1(s)}=\frac{\delta_{j,n}(u)-\delta_{j,n}(s)}{m_1(u)-m_1(s)}.$$

Now we deduce that $\frac{\delta_{j,n}(t)-\delta_{j,n}(s)}{m_1(t)-m_1(s)}$ does not depend on t so $\delta_{j,n}(t)=\delta_{j,n}(s)+f_{j,n}(s)(m_1(t)-m_1(s))$ for some $f_{j,n}(s)$. Now notice that for $s=0$ we have $m_1(0)=0$ hence $\delta_{j,n}(t)=\beta_{j,n}+\alpha_{j,n}m_1(t)$, where we denoted $\delta_{j,n}(0)=\beta_{j,n}$ and $f_{j,n}(0)=\alpha_{j,n}$. □

Proof. of Corollary 2: First of all notice that since polynomials $\{M_i\}$ are assumed to be orthogonal then condition (3.2) takes a for of 3-term recurrence

$$M_1(t)M_n(t)=(\hat{\alpha}_{n+1}m_1(t)+\alpha_{n+1})M_{n+1}(t)+ (\hat{\beta}_n m_1(t)+\beta_n)M_n(t)+(\hat{\gamma}_{n-1}m_1(t)+\gamma_{n-1})M_{n-1}(t),$$

for $n\geq 2$. Recall also following Theorem 1 that all martingales $M_n(s)$ multiplied by $1/m_n(s)$ are also reversed martingales. If $n=1$ we have

$$M_1^2(t)=(\hat{\alpha}_2m_1(t)+\alpha_2)M_2(t)+(\hat{\beta}_1m_1(t)+\beta_1)M_1(t)+m_1(t).$$

Hence we deduce that $\hat{\alpha}_1=\gamma_0=0$, $\alpha_1=\hat{\gamma}_0=1$. Secondly notice that for $\sigma\leq s<t<U\leq\upsilon$

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(M_n(\sigma)M_1(t)M_k(\upsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}))=\frac{m_n(\sigma)}{m_n(t)}\mathbb{E}(M_n(t)M_1(t)M_k(t))$$
$$=\frac{m_n(\sigma)}{m_n(t)}\mathbb{E}(M_n(t)((\hat{\alpha}_{k+1}m_1(t)+\alpha_{k+1})M_{k+1}(t)$$
$$+(\hat{\beta}_k m_1(t)+\beta_k)M_k(t)+(\hat{\gamma}_{k-1}m_1(t)+\gamma_{k-1})M_{k-1}(t))$$
$$=\begin{cases} m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\alpha}_n m_1(t)+\alpha_n) & if \quad n=k+1\\ m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\beta}_n m_1(t)+\beta_n) & if \quad n=k\\ m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\gamma}_n m_1(t)+\gamma_n) & if \quad n=k-1\end{cases}.$$

On the other hand by similar reasoning

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(M_n(\sigma)M_1(s)M_k(\upsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}))=\begin{cases} m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\alpha}_n m_1(s)+\alpha_n) & if \quad n=k+1\\ m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\beta}_n m_1(s)+\beta_n) & if \quad n=k\\ m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\gamma}_n m_1(s)+\gamma_n) & if \quad n=k-1\end{cases},$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(M_n(\sigma)M_1(u)M_k(\upsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u})) = \begin{cases} m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\alpha}_n m_1(u)+\alpha_n) & if \quad n=k+1 \\ m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\beta}_n m_1(u)+\beta_n) & if \quad n=k \\ m_n(\sigma)(\hat{\gamma}_n m_1(u)+\gamma_n) & if \quad n=k-1 \end{cases}.$$

Consequently we see that we have for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n(\sigma)M_1(s)M_k(\upsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) =$$
$$a(s,t,u)\mathbb{E}(M_n(\sigma)M_1(s)M_k(\upsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}) + b(s,t,u)\mathbb{E}(M_n(\sigma)M_1(u)M_k(\upsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{s,u}).$$

Now we have to refer to way of reasoning used in the proof of Proposition 2. Let us consider $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 \leq s < t < u \leq \upsilon_2 < \upsilon_1$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(M_{n_1}(\sigma_1)M_{n_2}(\sigma_2)M_1(t)M_{k_2}(\upsilon_2)M_{k_1}(\upsilon_1)) =$$
$$\frac{m_{n_1}(\sigma_1)}{m_{n_1}(\sigma_2)}\mathbb{E}((\sum_{j=0}^{n_1+n_2}\phi_{j,n_1,n_2}(\sigma_2)M_j(\sigma_2))M_1(t)\sum_{j=0}^{m_1+m_2}\phi_{j,m_1,m_2}(\upsilon_1)M_j(t))$$
$$= \frac{m_{n_1}(\sigma_1)}{m_{n_1}(\sigma_2)}\sum_{j=0}^{n_1+n_2}\phi_{j,n_1,n_2}(\sigma_2)\frac{m_j(\sigma_2)}{m_j(t)}\sum_{i=0}^{m_1+m_2}\phi_{j,m_1,m_2}(\upsilon_1)\mathbb{E}(M_j(t)M_1(t)M_i(t)$$
$$= \frac{m_{n_1}(\sigma_1)}{m_{n_1}(\sigma_2)}\sum_{j=0}^{n_1+n_2}\phi_{j,n_1,n_2}(\sigma_2)m_j(\sigma_2)\sum_{i=0}^{m_1+m_2}\phi_{j,m_1,m_2}(\upsilon_1)\begin{cases}\hat{\alpha}_n m_1(t)+\alpha_n & if \quad j=i+1 \\ \hat{\beta}_n m_1(t)+\beta_n & if \quad j=i \\ \hat{\gamma}_n m_1(t)+\gamma_n & if \quad j=i-1\end{cases}.$$

Similarly for $\mathbb{E}(M_{n_1}(\sigma_1)M_{n_2}(\sigma_2)M_1(s)M_{k_2}(\upsilon_2)M_{k_1}(\upsilon_1))$ and $\mathbb{E}(M_{n_1}(\sigma_1)M_{n_2}(\sigma_2)M_1(u)M_{k_2}(\upsilon_2)M_{k_1}(\upsilon_1))$. Using previous result we see that also in this case equation (3.1) is satisfied. And so on for any finite products of the form $\mathbb{E}(\prod_{j=1}^{l}M_{n_j}(\sigma_j)M_1(t)\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda}M_{m_j}(\upsilon_j)$ for $\sigma_1 < \ldots \sigma_l \leq s < t < u \leq \upsilon_\lambda < \ldots < \upsilon_1$. Finally we utilize fact that linear combinations of functions $\prod_{j=1}^{l}M_{n_j}(\sigma_j)\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda}M_{m_j}(\upsilon_j)$ are dense in the space square integrable functions measurable w.r. to $\mathcal{F}_{s,u}$. □

References

[1] Akhiezer, N. I. The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis. Translated by N. Kemmer *Hafner Publishing Co.*, New York 1965 x+253 pp. MR0184042 (32 #1518)

[2] Chihara, T. S. An introduction to orthogonal polynomials. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13. *Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris,* 1978. xii+249 pp. ISBN: 0-677-04150-0 MR0481884 (58 #1979)

[3] Bryc, W. , Wesołowski, J. (2005), Conditional Moments of q-Meixner Processes, *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **131**, 415-441

[4] Bożejko, Marek; Kümmerer, Burkhard; Speicher, Roland. q−-Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **185** (1997), no. 1, 129–154. MR1463036 (98h:81053)

[5] Bryc, Wlodzimierz. Stationary Markov chains with linear regressions. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **93** (2001), no. 2, 339–348. MR1828779 (2002d:60058)

[6] Bryc, Włodzimierz. Stationary random fields with linear regressions. *Ann. Probab.* **29** (2001), no. 1, 504–519. MR1825162 (2002d:60014)

[7] Bryc, Włodzimierz; Matysiak, Wojciech; Szabłowski, Paweł J. Probabilistic aspects of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), no. 4, 1127–1134 (electronic). MR2117214 (2005m:33033)

[8] Bryc, W, Wesołowski, J. (2007), Bi - Poissson process, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, *Quantum Probability and Related Topics* **10** (2) , 277-291

[9] Bożejko, M. Bryc, W. (2006), On a Class of Free Levy Laws Related to a Regression Problem, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **236** , 59-77.

[10] Bryc, W. , Matysiak, W. , Wesołowski, J. , The Bi - Poisson process: a quadratic harness. *Annals of Probability* **36** (2) (2008), s. 623-646

[11] Wlodzimierz Bryc, Wojciech Matysiak, Jacek Wesolowski. Quadratic Harnesses, $q-$commutations, and orthogonal martingale polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), no. 11, 5449–5483

[12] Włodek Bryc, Jacek Wesołowski. Askey–Wilson polynomials, quadratic harnesses and martingales. IMS-AOP-AOP503. *Annals of Probability* 2010, Vol. **38**, No. 3, 1221-1262.

[13] Bryc, Włodzimierz, Wesołowski Jacek, Stitching pairs of Levy processes into harnesses, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(2012), str. 2854 - 2869

[14] Wlodzimierz Bryc, Wojciech Matysiak, Jacek Wesołowski, Free Quadratic Harness. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121** (2011) 657–671.

[15] Hammersley, J. M. Harnesses. *1967 Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Mathematical Statistics and Probability* (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Vol. III: Physical Sciences pp. 89–117 Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif. MR0224144 (36 #7190)

[16] Simon, Barry. The classical moment problem as a self-adjoint finite difference operator. *Adv. Math.* 137 (1998), no. 1, 82–203. MR1627806 (2001e:47020)

[17] Simon, Barry. Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 1. Classical theory. *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, **54**, Part 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. xxvi+466 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3446-0 MR2105088 (2006a:42002a)

[18] Paweł J. Szabłowski, A few remarks on quadratic harnesses, J. Diff. Eqn. App. (2014), **20(**4), 586-609, http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1172

[19] Paweł J. Szabłowski, On Markov processes with polynomials conditional moments, in print in Trans. AMS. http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.6055

[20] Szabłowski, Paweł J. (2009) $q-$Gaussian Distributions: Simplifications and Simulations, *Journal of Probability and Statistics*, 2009 (article ID 752430)

[21] Szabłowski, Paweł J. $q-$Wiener and $(\alpha, q)-$ Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes. A generalization of known processes, *Theory of Probability and Its Applications,* **56** (4), 2011, 742–772, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507303

[22] Paweł J. Szabłowski, Lévy processes, martingales, reversed martingales and orthogonal polynomials, http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3121 , submitted

[23] Paweł J. Szabłowski, On stationary Markov processes with polynomial conditional moments, http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.4887, submitted

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,, Warsaw University of Technology, ul Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: pawel.szablowski@gmail.com